with the third-generation cephalosporins. First, for the treatment of pneumococcal or meningococcal meningitis, penicillin or chloramphenicol remain the recommended antibiotics. Second, other Gram-positive cocci, in particular Staphylococcus aureus, are resistant to the newer cephalosporins. Third, the use of chloramphenical apparently interferes with the activity of cefotaxime, which led to treatment failure in a patient with meningitis due to Klebsiella. Chloramphenicol may similarly interact with other third-generation cephalosporins. Fourth, not all Gram-negative species and not all organisms of any particular species are sensitive to these agents. For example, there are case reports of E coli resistance to moxalactam. And most *Pseudomonas* strains are resistant, as are all Acinetobacter, enterobacter and enterococci. Finally, as mentioned previously, Listeria infections should be treated with ampicillin.

GARY P. YOUNG, MD Oakland, California

#### REFERENCES

Beam TR Jr: Cephalosporins in adult meningitis. Bull NY Acad Med 1984 May; 60:380-393

Bolan G, Barza M: Acute bacterial meningitis in children and adults—A perspective. Med Clin North Am 1985 Mar; 69:231-241

Klein JO, Feigin RD, McCracken GH Jr: Report of the task force on diagnosis and management of meningitis. Pediatrics 1986; 78:959-982

Nelson JD: Emerging role of cephalosporins in bacterial meningitis. Am J Med 1985 Aug; 79:47-51

### Indications for Hyperbaric Oxygen Therapy

HYPERBARIC OXYGEN THERAPY, whereby a patient breathes 100% oxygen at an atmospheric pressure greater than sea level, has been the mainstay in the treatment of decompression sickness and gas emboli in divers. It is also an emerging treatment for a number of other medical indications.

The Hyperbaric Oxygen Therapy Committee of the Undersea and Hyperbaric Medical Society has classified the uses of hyperbaric oxygen into "accepted" and "investigative" indications, with a "special category" for clinically controversial indications. Accepted indications are listed in the table, while the list of investigative indications can be found in the committee's report. For some conditions, such as prophylaxis for osteoradionecrosis, the use of hyperbaric oxygen is primary therapy, while for other indications it is adjunctive to other interventions, such as for chronic refractory osteomyelitis, where antibiotics and definitive surgical therapy

### TABLE 1.—Accepted Indications for Use of Hyperbaric Oxygen

Acute air or gas embolism

Carbon monoxide or cyanide poisoning

Crush injuries and compartment syndromes

Decompression sickness

Enhancement of healing in selected problem wounds, particularly in some diabetic wounds

Exceptional blood loss anemia

Clostridial gas gangrene

Necrotizing soft tissue infections, such as crepitant anaerobic cellulitis, progressive bacterial gangrene, necrotizing fasciitis, nonclostridial myonecrosis and Fournier's gangrene

Chronic refractory osteomyelitis

Radiation necrosis of bone or soft tissue

Dental extraction from irradiated bone

Nonhealing of skin grafts or flaps

Actinomycosis

must also be used. The adjunctive use of hyperbaric oxygen for thermal injuries remains controversial.

Newly established has been the ability of hyperbaric oxygen to statistically significantly reduce the development of osteoradionecrosis after tooth removal from previously irradiated jaws. There has been a reduction from 29.9% to only 5.4% in the development of the disorder when hyperbaric oxygen was used before and after the extraction, compared with a penicillin-treated study group. Extraordinary costsavings were also shown.

Additional recent developments in the field are the demonstration of the effectiveness of hyperbaric oxygen for treating radiation-induced hemorrhagic cystitis and a new classification and staging system for osteomyelitis, to facilitate further study uniformity and allow the proper selection of patients for therapy.

Case selection is important in most of the listed categories, and early consultation with a physician experienced in the treatment of patients with hyperbaric oxygen should be sought.

IRVING JACOBY, MD San Diego

### REFERENCES

Cierny G III, Mader JT, Penninck JJ: A clinical staging system for adult osteomyelitis. Contemp Orthopaedics 1985 May; 10:17-37

Hyperbaric Oxygen Therapy: A Committee Report, publication No. 30CR (HBO). Bethesda, Md, Undersea and Hyperbaric Medical Society, 1986

Marx RE, Johnson RP, Kline SN: Prevention of osteoradionecrosis: A randomized prospective clinical trial of hyperbaric oxygen versus penicillin. J Am Dent Assoc 1985; 111:49-54

Weiss JP, Boland FP, Mori H, et al: Treatment of radiation-induced cystitis with hyperbaric oxygen. J Urol 1985 Aug; 134:352-354

## Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Defibrillation

EVERY FIVE OR SIX YEARS the American Heart Association convenes a national conference to revise and update the standards for cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) and advanced cardiac life support. Such a meeting (the third since 1973) was held July 1985 in Dallas, and the standards and guidelines were published in *The Journal of the American Medical Association*. (A complete copy of the "Standards and Guidelines for Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation [CPR] and Emergency Cardiac Care [ECC]" may be obtained from local chapters of the American Heart Association.)

The new standards for CPR simplify the technique. First, one-person CPR will be emphasized for layperson CPR instruction. Second, ventilations will no longer be "stacked" but rather given as two sequential breaths over two to three seconds (1 to 1½ seconds per breath), and the use of four initial breaths has been dropped with two breaths being used throughout. Third, the rate of chest compressions has been increased to a rate of 80 to 100 per minute. The ratio of 15 compressions to 2 ventilations is maintained. For two-person CPR there should be a pause in chest compressions while ventilation occurs. The ratio of five compressions to one ventilation is maintained.

The new guidelines for advanced cardiac life support emphasize the importance of rapid defibrillation for ventricular fibrillation. For ventricular fibrillation the sequence consists of a 200-J defibrillatory shock, and if ventricular fibrillation persists, a second shock of 200 to 300 J and, if necessary, a third shock of as much as 360 J. Only then, if fibrillation persists, should pharmacologic therapy begin with the use of epinephrine and antiarrhythmics. Defibrillation, assuming a

defibrillator is present, takes precedence over intubation, intravenous line placement and even CPR. The use of sodium bicarbonate is deemphasized and is merely considered rather than recommended well into the resuscitation. For asystole and electromechanical dissociation, the use of calcium has been eliminated.

MICKEY EISENBERG, MD

### REFERENCES

Standards and Guidelines for Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation (CPR) and Emergency Cardiac Care (ECC). JAMA 1986; 255:2905-2989

Weisfeldt ML, Halperin HR: Cardiopulmonary resuscitation: Beyond cardiac massage. Circulation 1986 Sep; 74:443-448

# Expanding the Use of Automatic External Defibrillators to Home and Community

THE SINGLE most effective intervention in cardiac arrest occurring outside the hospital is early external defibrillation. The problem is that ventricular fibrillation is a very transient rhythm, lasting only a few minutes before it decays to asystole, from which virtually no one can be resuscitated. A major thrust in emergency medicine has been to develop ways to get a defibrillator to a patient in cardiac arrest as quickly as possible. Paramedics in mobile coronary care units were, in their original conception, "invented" so that they could carry defibrillators to such patients. Next, emergency medical technicians (EMTs), less well trained than paramedics but more than ten times as plentiful, were trained to identify ventricular fibrillation and to deliver a defibrillatory shock when they discovered a patient in that rhythm. The problem still remains, however, that emergency personnel can take a long time to get a defibrillator to a patient's side.

The rationale behind early defibrillation strongly suggests that the immediate witnesses of an arrest, bystanders, family members and colleagues at work should also be given defibrillators. Unfortunately, interpreting cardiac rhythms and operating a sophisticated medical device are beyond the ability of most laypersons and even some less-experienced emergency personnel.

Since the late 1970s several companies have worked to develop portable battery-powered defibrillators that could automatically analyze a patient's cardiac rhythm for the presence of ventricular fibrillation. If fibrillation occurs, the devices, called automatic external defibrillators, charge their capacitors and deliver an electrical countershock.

At this time, these defibrillators are being evaluated in several settings. Researchers have studied their use in the homes of patients who have survived either a cardiac arrest or a myocardial infarction. Their work has confirmed that family members of a high-risk patient can be successfully trained to operate an automatic external defibrillator, that they can retain this knowledge over long periods of time and that they will successfully use the device when an actual cardiac arrest occurs.

Fully trained paramedics can diagnose dysrhythmias, insert intravenous lines, administer medication and carry out defibrillation. While lesser-trained EMTs generally lack these skills, they are much more numerous than paramedics and can usually reach a patient more rapidly. The use of automatic external defibrillators by EMTs may partially compensate for their lesser amount of training because rhythm recognition is done by the device, rather than by emergency personnel. Two

large controlled trials have confirmed the value of their use by FMTs

Placing automatic external defibrillators in public settings is another strategy to achieve rapid defibrillation after a cardiac arrest. Researchers are currently conducting evaluations of such placement in senior centers, community health clubs, high-rise office buildings and large corporate settings.

Methods to deal with medical emergencies in the air have remained controversial and hotly debated. One major airline has embarked on a two-year evaluation of the use of automatic defibrillators by trained cabin-crew members in wide-body aircraft that fly international routes.

During the next decade, automatic defibrillators will become smaller, less expensive, safer and more accurate. They are clearly a device of the future.

RICHARD O. CUMMINS, MD Seattle

#### REFERENCES

Cummins RO, Eisenberg MS, Litwin PE, et al: Automatic external defibrillators used by emergency medical technicians: A controlled clinical trial. JAMA 1987; 257:1605-1610

Cummins RO, Eisenberg MS, Hearne TR, et al: Community responder use of automatic external defibrillators: Ease of training and retention of skills (Abstr). Am J Emerg Med 1986; 4:417

Moore JE, Eisenberg MS, Andresen E, et al: Home placement of automatic external defibrillators among survivors of ventricular fibrillation. Ann Emerg Med 1986: 15:811-812

Stults KR, Brown DD, Kerber RE: Efficacy of an automated external defibrillator in the management of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: Validation of the diagnostic algorithm and initial clinical experience in a rural environment. Circulation 1986; 73:701-709

Weaver WD, Copass MK, Hill D, et al: Development and widespread use of automatic external defibrillators (Abstr). Am J Emerg Med 1986; 4:424-425

### Advances in Radiologic Evaluation of Acute Spinal Cord Compression

ACUTE SPINAL CORD compression in cancer patients is a true oncologic emergency. Without prompt intervention, potentially reversible neurologic damage will become permanent. Although direct parenchymal metastatic invasion of the spinal cord is rare, most cancer patients with cord compression present with spinal epidural metastases from the direct spread of vertebral or paravertebral lesions.

While neurologic examination and plain radiographs help to localize the level of the lesion, myelography, using either iophendylate (oil-based), metrizamide (water-soluble) or both as contrast, has been the standard radiologic examination. By using sagittal projection, several levels of obstruction can be visualized simultaneously and spot radiography limits motion artifact. The procedure is invasive, however, often requiring two punctures above and below the lesion to define the extent of obstruction. As only the outline of the soft tissue is visualized, the actual extent of a paravertebral lesion cannot be seen.

The development of high-resolution computed tomography (CT) allows for greater distinction between bone and soft tissue. The transverse view of the spine provides visualization of the perispinal area, allowing a view not only of impingement of the cord but also the extent of epidural metastases. The procedure is noninvasive and requires no contrast material, but within the confines of the spinal canal there is poor resolution between the cord and the subarachnoid space.

A solution to this problem is the use of water-soluble metrizamide contrast with computer-assisted myelography. This improves differentiation between the soft tissues, and transverse views better show the extent of cord compression than does myelography. As smaller amounts of metrizamide